

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6236 Case file # 95-246801.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no trial transcript available.

CRM - 6438

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 11:30 AM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

File #: 95-246801

Laboratory #(s): 11208058
20111019
20201038

Examiner(s) & Symbols

| | Reviewed | Not Reviewed | | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| RQ | X <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| VI, LY | <input type="checkbox"/> | X <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 11208058 Date: Jan 6, 1982
Laboratory Number: 11208058/20111019 Date: Feb 4, 1982
Laboratory Number: 20201038 Date: Feb 14, 1982

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ
Laboratory Number: 11208058
11208058/20111019
20201038

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SR

CRM - 6439

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letters (dated 12-4-81, 1-5-82 and 1-26-82)

Results of Review

File #: 95-246801

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q17, K4, K5, K7-K11, K12-

K21

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SLM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-246801

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determine the comparison was performed correctly.

#2: The examination results set forth in the laboratory report are supported by the bench notes, but the documentation is marginally adequate. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. RQ uses abbreviations to indicate the microscopic characteristics of the hair. These abbreviations are difficult to interpret.

Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 03/14/2001 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0:15 hours

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts

(Signature)

03/14/2001

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SR

Additional Comments